		Application No.	Applica	ant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	any	09/904,294	HERSM	MAN ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview duminary		Examiner	Art Uni	t
		Edna Wong	1753	
All Participants:		Status of Application	on:	
(1) <u>Edna Wong</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Paul C. Remus</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 30 September 2004		Time: <u>11:15 am</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 1-22 Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicants elected Group I, claims 1-12.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
aid not result in resolution of all issues. A bnef	summary	by the examiner appea	ars in Part II abo	ove.
Schrowling.				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/	Applicant's Representat	tive Signature -	- if appropriate)